

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 11, 2000

LB 922

and maybe that story will indicate to you how badly a judge...a district judge is needed or not needed in the 11th District, but a couple of years ago in this Legislature, in order to kind of spread out the workload as between the county judges and the district judges, we passed a law and bottom line was, oversimplifying somewhat, was that lawyers in a particular judicial district, if it was more convenient for them, could take their domestic relation cases, divorces, those kinds of things, could take them to the county judge if they wanted to, whereas before it had always been the workload of the district court. So we said to the lawyers, you can take them to county court. If it's...if the county court is closer and the county judge has less of a workload, take these domestic cases to the county judges. Let's spread out the workload. Domestic cases, divorces, are resolved without disputed hearings, I don't know, 90 percent of the time, something like that. And you may wonder, what percentage of a district court's cases are domestic cases? Is it a big percentage? Well, in the 11th District that we're talking about, last year it was 1,188 out of 2,288 cases, so about half the caseload in that district were domestic relation cases. So when the Legislature passed this law that said, lawyers, you can take the cases to the county court, you would have thought that district judges would have been cheering because these same judges that are asking you for another judge now could have shipped or allowed to have gone to the county courts many, many, many of these domestic relation cases. But what happened in fact? What happened in fact was that one of the district judges in that district wrote a letter to all the lawyers in the district. He said, notwithstanding what the Legislature has said and allowed, I don't want you to send any domestic relation cases to county judges or you're in trouble with me. I mean, if that judge had done that to one of Senator Chambers' bills he would have been up before the Judicial Qualifications Commission. But without any authority, without having case before him, he thumbed his nose at the Legislature, so I don't know if that's changed. Let me ask you, Senator Cudaback. Has that order been rescinded or are domestic relations cases now allowed to go to the county court? Is that an alternative here? Instead of having more district court judges, can they send the domestic relation cases to county court? I would yield part of my time to Senator Cudaback.